

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UHA

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,151	12/12/2003	Il Yasuhiro	6453P020	5667
• , , .	7590 12/27/200° KOLOFF TAYLOR &	EXAMINER		
1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040			ABDI, AMARA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/27/2007	PAPER .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/735,151	YASUHIRO, IL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Amara Abdi	2624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realized to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COI 36(a). In no event, however will apply and will expire S , cause the application to	MMUNICATION. ver, may a reply be timely filed IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 No.	<u>ovember 2007</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1.3-7.9 and 11-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-7,9 and 11-14</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requiren	ient.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 12 December 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a)⊠ accepted drawing(s) be held i ion is required if the	n abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/12/2003.	5) <u> </u>	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:				

Page 2

Application/Control Number:

10/735,151 Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Applicant's response to the last office action, filed October 22, 2007 has been entered and made of record.
- 2. In view of the Applicant amendments, the rejection of claims 3-6 and 11-14 under 35 U.S.C 112 is expressly withdrawn.
- 3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-7, 9, and 11-14 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-7, 9, and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seto (US-PGPUB 2002/0029242) in view of Jacobs et al. (US 5,892,509).

(1) Regarding claims 1, 7, and 9:

Seto discloses a server connected to a client via a network for editing image data based on image editing commands from the client (paragraph [0090], line 1-4), and image editing method (paragraph [0010], line 3-4), and computer readable storage medium (paragraph [0010], line 6-8), the server comprising:

a storing unit (element 5 in Fig. 1)to store image files (paragraph [0123], line 4-5)

10/735,151 Art Unit: 2624

a first image editing unit (element 7 in Fig. 1) to edit a low resolution part of an image file stored in the storing unit in response to an image editing command from the client (paragraph [0090], line 1-4, and paragraph [0159], line 5-13);

an information unit (S5 in Fig. 2) in to inform the client that the image editing process has been completed by the first image editing unit (paragraph [0091], line 1-3);

Seto does not explicitly mention the job supplying unit to form a job commanding a high resolution part of the image file stored in the storing unit to be edited; and a second image editing unit to edit the high resolution part of the image file stored in the storing unit in response to the job formed by the job supplying unit.

Jacobs et al., in analogous environment, teaches an image processing apparatus having common and personal memory capable of viewing and editing an image commonly with a remote image processing apparatus over a network, where using the job supplying unit to form a job commanding a high resolution part of the image file stored in the storing unit to be edited (column 10, line 31-34); and a second image editing unit to edit the high resolution part of the image file stored in the storing unit in response to the job formed by the job supplying unit (column 10, line 35-37).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the system of Jacobs et al., where editing a high resolution image, in the system of Seto in order to have a workstation which performs image editing functions including rotation, scaling up or down in size in either or both directions, cutting pasting annotation, "white out", "restoration" (to previous state), and other editing functions (column 3, line 42-45).

10/735,151 Art Unit: 2624

(2) Regarding claims 3 and 11:

Seto further discloses the server, where when the client commands the image file to be displayed (paragraph [0021], line 19-20), encoded data of the edited low resolution part of the image file is transmitted to the client (paragraph [0021], line 21-22), (the selected of the amount of data is read as the encoded data of the edited low resolution part of the image file)

(3) Regarding claims 4 and 12:

Seto discloses all the subject matter as described in claims 1, 7, and 9.

Seto does not explicitly mention that when the second image editing unit has not completed editing the high resolution part of the image file, the portion of the high resolution part of the image file is edited, encoded, and transmitted to the client.

Jacobs et al., in analogous environment, teaches an image processing apparatus having common and personal memory capable of viewing and editing an image commonly with a remote image processing apparatus over a network, where in case where the second image editing unit has not completed editing the high resolution part of the image (column 12, line 12-15), the portion of the high resolution part of the image is edited, encoded and transmitted to the other image processing apparatus (the other image processing is read as client) (column 10, line 66-67; and column 11, line 1-4), (the examiner interpreted that the special function unit, and main CPU unit are performing the manipulation between the documents, so it's interpreted that in case the editing unit has not completed editing the high resolution part of the image, the portion of the high resolution part of the image is edited, and the edited portion of the high

10/735,151

Art Unit: 2624

resolution part of the image is encoded and transmitted to the other image processing apparatus).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the system of Jacobs et al., where displaying an image file, in the system of Seto in order to have a workstation which performs image editing functions including rotation, scaling up or down in size in either or both directions, cutting pasting annotation, "white out", "restoration" (to previous state), and other editing functions (column 3, line 42-45).

(4) Regarding claims 5 and 13:

Seto discloses all the subject matter as described in claim 1 above.

Seto does not explicitly mention that where the portion of the high resolution part of the image file cannot be displayed is when the image editing process that is being conducted is an image editing process that cannot be conducted on a portion by portion basis.

Jacobs et al., in analogous environment, teaches an image processing apparatus having common and personal memory capable of viewing and editing an image commonly with a remote image processing apparatus over a network, where the portion of the high-resolution part of the image cannot be displayed (column 10, line 35-45) when the image editing process that is being conducted is an image editing process that cannot be conducted on a portion by portion basis (column 4, line 52-61), (the examiner interpreted that the operator can write and edit any of the display image, so the operator can inform the other processing apparatus to display or not display any image by using

10/735,151 Art Unit: 2624

the stylus, this includes cutting and pasting of documents, pointing to or erasing particular points, rotation of images,...etc).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the system of Jacobs et al., where the image file cannot be displayed, in the system of Seto in order to have a workstation which performs image editing functions including rotation, scaling up or down in size in either or both directions, cutting pasting annotation, "white out", "restoration" (to previous state), and other editing functions (column 3, line 42-45).

(5) Regarding claims 6 and 14:

Seto discloses all the subject matter as described in claim 1 above.

Seto does not explicitly mention commending the printing process to be conducted for the image file including the high resolution part of the image file, in case where the second image editing unit has not completed editing the high resolution part of the image file, the client is informed that the printing process cannot be conducted.

Jacobs et al., in analogous environment, teaches an image processing apparatus having common and personal memory capable of viewing and editing an image commonly with a remote image processing apparatus over a network, where commending the printing process to be conducted for the image file including the high resolution part of the image file (column 11, line 11-18), in case where the second image editing unit has not completed editing the high resolution part of the image file, the other image processing (the other image processing is read as client) is informed that the printing process cannot be conducted (column 12, line 55-61), (the examiner

Application/Control Number:

10/735,151 Art Unit: 2624

interpreted that the basic entry level functional area controls control the image edit, and provides the print screen command, so it inform the other image processing to conduct printing or not).

Conclusion

3. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number:

10/735,151 Art Unit: 2624

Contact Information:

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amara Abdi whose telephone number is (571) 270-1670. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:30 Am to 5:00 PM E.T..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wu Jingge can be reached on (571) 272-7429. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Amara Abdi 12/21/2007 BHAVESH W. MEHTA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600